



P/2-63

#7/13
4/7/03

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Roger Hoffman

Serial No.: 09/609,801

Examiner: R. Weisberger

Filed: July 3, 2000

Art Unit: 3624

For: INTERNET EXPENSE ACCOUNT

Dated: April 7, 2003

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

Response to Office Action

Sir:

The following is in response to the Office Action mailed November 5, 2002.

IN THE CLAIMS:

Claim 1, line 2, delete "encoded". A clean copy of claim 1, as amended is enclosed herewith.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-12 as being indefinite.

In claim 1, "encoded" is vague, indefinite and without particularity. Applicant has deleted the term "encoded".

In claim 4, said system allowing said information to be transferred is vague and indefinite. What structural element is this directed to? The claim recites that the system of the present invention transfers information which is on the card to a text document which is part of an e-mail server.

In claim 4, said text document being part of an email server is vague and indefinite. It is not clear how this limits the text document. The text document being part of an e-mail

RECEIVED
APR 14 2003
GROUP 3600